G. R. S. 1X-5]

Previous references.

File No. 486-12

1912

(Miscellaneous)

Serial Nos. 1 to 8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 8

Part B for August 1919

SUBJECT.

Claim of Mr. M. C Alasingacharlu, Advocate to Mudre veliga post in the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote.

No. 557 d. 16. 8. 1919.

Rejected the review petition of Mr. M C Alasingacharlu, Advocate re. claim to Mundre veliga post in the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote

To the petitioner

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

V. Bishtappa
Secretary
11. 9. 1919

G.R.S. 11] 1912

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mem)

NOTES

File No 486-12

FILE HEADING

Claim of Mr M.C Alasingrachar Advocate to mudre uliga Post in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote.

From

Mr M C Alasingrachar Advocate

No Mem. Dated 6 6 1912 Received

Diary No	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No
2005			1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Prays that the mudre uliga Post in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote may be conferred upon him

NOTES AND ORDERS

(a) Mem

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12 8 11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Muz D 2005.

This file is put up herein

D Nos 816 of 1911-12
(M 446 11)

Send to Secy

Please see

the Secretary's order on

the enclosed petition of

Mr. M. C. Alexander

Our file may kindly be sent

to us Secy.

Lib.

6 June 1912

B VII Secy
23
8/6

The connected file no. 124
of 1907 is put up.

This was treated as a Muz.
Council case and was heard by
the 1st Bar before orders were
passed. Muzrai appeals are not
Sub Court

Asy C137
11-6-12

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

(3)

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

—This point has said to have been considered and decided some time ago - If desired the files will be obtd & put up.

1719
13-6-12

treated as Council Committee cases, like Revenue Appeals, to which alone Rule 12 of the Council Rules of Business refers.

To U. Secy with Dewan. / 12/6/12

Was the cessation of 1863 an absolute Cessation or a transfer to Govt Taxation? In the latter case is it Competent to Govt to Cessate it? That was not Tondiman Samasthanam then a Sovereign or. Whose Taxation the Govt should Cessate & the Zamindar benefitted? Mismanagement.

Please work up the case in the light of the foregoing queries & submit them for Committee —

P. T-O

To Secy

Y Where is it? Kept please in Misc —

To the Cessation of the Zamindari 1863, & what is its legal effect

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—25,000—11-11-11

A VII
23
23/6

The Vern. records bearing
on the case were ~~forwarded~~ returned to
the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist,
with G.O. no. ~~1115~~ 451 Dy
M.J. 124 07
15th Aug. 1910.

Submts with a dft. calling for.
All the records, for appl. on
26.6.12 other orders.

Issue -

17.2.13

(5

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 426 of 191 .

Serial No.

Inwg. D. no 124 of 1907 is put up.

The Dy. Secretary Mr A Subrahmanya Iyer's note (vide pages 4 to 6 of the office note) clearly explains the facts of the case.

Mr. Alasingachar petitioned Govt. in February 1908 advancing his hereditary right to the mudre uliga post of Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote, as being the eldest son of the previous karanikam Komar Singlachar the Dy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitted as called for by this office, a report on the petition together with the connected records. The 1st member heard the aplt and his opponent (the present office-holder) Vidwan Lakshmi Narachar (who is the daughter's son of Komara Singlachar). Mr. Alasingachar's appeal was rejected as Govt saw no reason to interfere on behalf of the aplt. (Vide Govt Pro no. 451 Dy 15th August 1910).

On December 1910, Mr. Alasingachar presented a review petition, and this was rejected in Endorsement no 1673 Dy 23rd Decr 1910

(Inwg. D. 299 of 1910 is put up)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13.

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. [illegible] of 1916.

Serial No.

issued to him-

Mr. Alasingacharlu presented a second review petition to the late Dewan stating that the post is hereditary in his family as is evidenced by old orders and other papers with him and praying that he ~~has~~ must be registered as the karanavaru in place of his nephew Lakshmi Narasimhachar - the grounds for review are summarized in English in para 4 of the petition.

The Govt order says that "the apltt's father had resigned his right without any qualification ...", but the Mr Alasingacharlu says that there was no resignation. He states that the karar of 1888 executed by his maternal uncle — Sampathkumaracher to his father shows the relation of Principal & Agent, that the office and how to discharge it were exclusively in the hands of his late father during his life-time under the Sanad which gave no power to alienate it, and that there was no identity of interest between him and his late father for the right of survivorship could not be exercise

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13.

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. [illegible] of 1916.

Serial No

simultaneously. The Govt order says that "the aplt himself shows by his long delay in asking for restoration that he acquiesced in the expulsion." (Attention is respectfully invited to Lakshmi Datachar's petition dated 14th May 1909 in file no 124 of 1907)

The late Dewan to whom the papers had been submitted in June 1912 raised some queries, and directed the submission of the file through the 1st Councillor.

(vide page 3 of the office note)

In a Kannada memo in the Vernacular records, mention is made of the Razinama of 1863. Komara Singlar petitioned the authorities stating that he had to leave Melkote on account of domestic affairs, and praying that the post might be transferred to the name of his brother-in-law Tonnur Sampath Kumarachar. - It is said that under Hassan Division Huzur Hukum no. 57 Dy 29th May 1863, the name of K. Singlachar was removed, and the

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 480 of 1916.

Serial No.

post was made out in the name of Sampathkumaracharlu.

The karar of 1888 is flagged

If directed, the petition will be posted for hearing.

Submtd. for orders

MM 1157 6/5/14. a.w 9.5.14

The deft. amended and appl. The file should not have been delayed so long

Sd 15.2.15

Submtd. with a clean Dft

MM 1157 19.2.15 Sd

Sd 19/2

4 Vide 1867 of 23.2.15 - The Regr. Guntur Distt. observes that the present case is on the same par as the one relating to his claims for the Adhyapaka work - to which further reference was called for in this office Vide 1066 of 2nd Octr 13, & requested research being instituted into the temple records & present whether the orgl. holder Sriyalachari was recognized as permanent-holder subsequent to 1868

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13.

(G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 686 of 1912

Serial No.

the date of the alleged Inamma in favor of Sampathkumarachar, Deputy Commissioner of Kolar requests early reply as it is a long pending.

From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt. 20-1-1917

Letter no 178 Dt 22-1-1917

Reports that the references of 1863 bearing on the subject, are not available in the Temple records.

The Dy Commr was asked to report in Sl. 4 whether Singlachar, the original holder of Mudrenliga and Sohigapaka posts, was recognized as the permanent holder of the offices in question on any occasion subsequent to 1863 The date of the alleged Razinama in favor of Sampathkumarachar, and his present report is silent on the matter.

Submitted with a Dft. Letter for

M (RR) 26/1-17

26-1-17

Appd

Lr

29-5-17

6 No. 2889 Dt 24.5.17, D to Dy Mysore, calls for further information in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—40 000—7 11 13

venue

File No. 76 of 1912.

Serial No.

7 From [illegible]

[illegible] Subject. for orders.

W.S. 18 Kind attention is invited to the notes of Mr Subramanya Iyer of 23-2-10 pp 4-6 of Mun. File 124 of 07 in respect of this port and to the Engr notes of 13-8-13 pp 4-6 of Mun. F 446-11 (linked up) in respect of the Adyapaka port.

Govt vended a decision against the Petr (after personal hearing in regard Mundra Ubja port) and his review Petn was also rejected. (Vide file 124/07 and 299 of 10 Pet. Supp).

The present Comm. from the Dy Comr relates to both the Mundra Ubja and Adyapaka ports—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB- 60 000—7 11 1

Serial No.

And State that the name of the Petr does not occur in the Varishnavi of the temple since 1863.

May the Petn be replied that in terms of the Govt's letter?

22/5/19

There do not seem to be any reasons for reviewing the Govt order of 1910. Endt may be given to Petr.

22/5/19.

Secy.)

Draft an endorsement giving reasons for decision

22/5/19

22/5/19

Submd. with a draft endt.

4-7-19

Ret'd with a draft endt.

22.7.19

This is a long pending case decided on merits and rejected on an application for review. The

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

The thought of certain allegations have often been made since the late Sheveroy the case was reopened, and a further report called for from the Collector.

The whole case now hinges upon the fact whether or not the resignation in 1863 of Kumar Singh Sahib was an absolute one. The available records seem to show that it was, in as much as Singh Sahib's name never once figures in the temple Baramahal since then. Even the letters after Kaifiat of 1888 would appear to show that whatever the private understanding between the parties may have been, the transfer of Baramahal so far as Govt are concerned, was without any reserve.

The next question noted after late Sheveroy is 'what is the significance after Kaifiat of 1888 and what is its legal effect'. Looking at all the circumstances, it seems that only an undertaking on the part of Sampat Kumaraswamy to retain for the Baramahal and so far as ~~[illegible]~~ the Govt is concerned, it cannot have the effect of retaining for ever, to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

A. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 1 of 191 .

Serial No.

which all the Govt are necessary parties.

Under these circumstances the review may be rejected. A draft order to this effect is put up for approval

Sd
22.7.19

366/22.7.19 Govt Members)

Draft approved
Sd
27/19

Sd
6/8/19

8, S. Mr. N C. Kasinathan, advocate
No 557 dt. 16. 8 1918
Rejects his review application
No 558 dt 16 8 1918.
Forwards copy of G.O.

15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No. 4303

To

T. Nanda Rao Esqr. 2003

Received 19/11-1912

Petn. No. 4

Serial No.

The humble petition of M.C.Malsiger[illegible], ryot, re- Mudre Ulaga Office in Sree Narayanaswamy Temple, Melkote,

May it please your Honr

1. That, in the Triple Revision Register of 1906, the Office bears No. 34 and adjusts at the rate of the following Government Order.

[illegible]

2. That Komara Singlachar owned and possessed the said property father who died in 1907, at Melkote; and Narsimha Char is his sister's son or nephew, [illegible] Office by giving false information to Government.

3. That to make the above Government order accord [illegible] That and Law, the only correction to be made in it, is

— read " Narsingacharlu"

for " Lakshmikantacharlu".

The copy is respectfully submitted.

4. That the Government Order of 1912 is wrong for the reasons given above.

(a). It is not proper for Government to go behind its own order of 1900.

(b). There was no resignation.

(c). The Karar, dated 31st May 1888, executed by his maternal uncle to his father shews the relation of Principal and Agent, which existed between them.

(d). The Office and how to discharge it were exclusive-ly in the hands of his late father during his life-time under the Sanad, which gives no power to alienate it.

(e). There was no identity, but diversity, of interest between him and his late father for the right of survivorship could not be exercised simultaneously.

The petitioner therefore prays that his name may be substituted for that of his nephew in the Govern-ment Order of 1900, so as to make it harmonize with the Sanad kindly granted by Chikka Devaraja Wodayar in the 17th. Century, confirmed by his successors as well as by Hyder and Tippu in the 18th Century and ratified by the Parakala Matt and the Chief Commissioner in the 19th Century: and that justice and peace may thereby be given to all concerned.

Ever pray,

M. T. Narayanaswami

Advocate

× This is in the records of the case

FW
Please
call for
the file —
Was this
heard by
Comm. Com
- mittee ?

[illegible]

Constituted of [illegible]

Appeal [illegible]

Appellant, [illegible], [illegible]

Mr. [illegible] C. [illegible]achar, [illegible]

Advocate, High Court [illegible]

of Mysore.

Subject

[illegible] post of the [illegible]

Facts of the case.

This dispute is about the right to the [illegible] office in the [illegible] temple at [illegible]. [illegible], father of the appellant Mr. M.C. [illegible] was the [illegible] of the office. In the year 1[illegible], he requested that as he [illegible] the office might be registered in the name of his brother-in-law Tondanur [illegible]. This request was complied with and Tondanur [illegible] discharged the duties of the office till about the year 1898 when he was suspended for [illegible]. [illegible] before this, there seem to have been applications from [illegible] and his sons to the effect that the office should be made out in the name of the respondent, a [illegible] [illegible]'s son of [illegible] and a [illegible]. The respondent who had been temporarily appointed in 18[illegible] was accordingly confirmed in 1900, when the temple establishment was revised by the [illegible]. The order of confirmation runs thus:-

[illegible]

Appellant's father resigned his office, but later, [illegible] applied for restoration on the ground that [illegible] had obtained his resignation.

2. The case was heard before [illegible] on 15th June 1910, when the petitioner appeared in person and counsel [illegible] appeared for the respondent.

Petitioner urged that [illegible] his father's resignation was obtained by misrepresentation and that he was entitled to be restored to the office by making a misrepresentation.

For the respondent it was urged that [illegible] father had lost their right [illegible] and that [illegible] declined it in favour of [illegible] dispensed with [illegible] and appointed [illegible] in his place as a permanent [illegible] relationship between him and the original [illegible] other being mentioned only as an incidental fact. It was also urged that in 1897, the petitioner, his brothers and [illegible] acquiesced to the respondent's appointment.

Decision

The appellant's father had resigned his right of [illegible] qualification long before Government appointed the respondent, and the appellant himself showed by his long delay in asking for restoration that he acquiesced in the exclusion. It was [illegible] that his father was alive till 1907, [illegible] there was no disparity of interest between them. Government see no reason to interfere on behalf of the appellant. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

No. 452 Dt 15/8/1910.
Mis 12407

Copy forwarded to the appellant
Mr. M.C. Alasingacher, advocate, Chief court
Mysore

By order
[illegible]
Secretary to Govt
RL

Dft for appl

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr

Dated Mysore Distt

Despatched 19-2-1913

Received

General No. 961

Dfms No. 486-12-2

Enclosure No. —

Has the honor to request
the retransmission of the
Vern. records relating to
Mudre uliga post of Sri
Narayanaswamy Temple at
Melkote which were returned
with this office no 451
Mucy 7-12-07
Dy 15th August 1910, as they
are required in connection
with the renewed application
of Mr M. C. Alasingracharya
Advocate.

By order

17.2.13

for Secy-Secretariat

26/6/12

MGC FB—20[illegible]0—16-2-05

DIARY No. 1190

1913-1914
SECTION Municipal
FILE No. 486-12
SERIAL No. 3

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Municipal Branch.

Dated 30-12-13.

Despatched 2-1-14.

Received

General No. 1.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting records relating to Mudre Tuliga post of Sri Narayana Swamy temple at Melkote

No: 243

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Dated Mysore, 30th December 1913

2-1-1914

From,

A. Subrahmanya Iyer Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

To,

K.R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

According to your docket No: 961/Rev 486-12-2 dated 19th February 1913 requesting the retransmission of the entire Vernacular records relating to Mudne Puliga post of Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote and subsequent references thereto, I have honor to submit herewith the

the entire Vernacular Records

received with French Rocks

Sub division officer's yadi No:

$\frac{164}{462}$ dated 24th ultimo

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

assistant Commissioner

For Deputy Commissioner

Imphal District.

absent from station.

I Calls for further enquiries re Mundre Inliga & Bhogapam ports of Nellore Temple

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 486

Serial No. 1512

4

Diary No. of letter replied to

—

No. 1867 Emp/le

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore Dist.

Date of issue 23-2-915

Signed by Dy. Secretary for

Sir,

In Adverting to your letter No. 243 Dy 2nd Jany. 914 submitting the records relating to the claim of Mr. M. C. Alasingacharyar, Advocate, Mysore, to the Mundre Inliga fort of Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote, I am directed to observe that the present case

over.

SUBJECT.

is on the same par as the one relating to his claim for the Adhyapaka post in connection with which further information has been called for in this office letter no. 1061 Dy 2nd Oct 1913. Accordingly I am to request therefore that the Temple records may be searched for any information as to whether Singlachar the mgt holder of these offices, was recognized as the permanent holder of the offices in question on any occasion subsequent to 1863 the date of the alleged Razinama in favor of Sampathkumarachar. 2) The Vern. record on the subject are herewith returned. Cmd. re-mtn.

These cases have been

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—2,000—8 8 11

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

pending disposal for a long time, an early reply is requested.

I have etc.

Sir O.K. Secretary.

19.2.15

GPB—12,000—15-2-13.



GPB—50,000 17 9 15

19 17

From

23 JAN 1917

To

Dated 22nd [illegible] 1917

Despatched —— Do ——

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

1191

16 17

486-12

5

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Mysore District
Dated 22nd January 1917.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To,

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esqre, BA. BL
Secretary to the Govt of H H the
Maharaja of Mysore, Legal Dept.
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your letter No 1867 Judl
406 Revt
dated 23rd February 1915 calling for certain records
relating to the claim of Mr M.C. Narasingachar,
Advocate, Mysore, to the Muzrai village post of
Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, I have
the honor to state that the Dy Assistant of French
Rocks in his letter No 204 dated 27th June 1916 reports
1st July
that from the Peishkar's Yadi No 483 dated 20th
April 1916 it is seen that the references of 1863
bearing on the subject are not available in the
temple records.

The vernacular file owing with the French Rocks
Asst Dys Memo No 4 dated 7th July 1916 is
Revenue 178 9/15-16 Judl
herewith re-submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M. Seshadri
Pro: Asst. Commissioner
for Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

absent from Hd Qrs

2 115 121

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Further information about the Mudre Uliga of Adhyapaka post of Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1191.....

Section

File No. 486

Serial No. 6 612

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the 191 .

No. 2889 Temple

Date of issue 24.5.917

To

Signed by N. Y. Narasimhacharya

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your Lr No. 178 Dt 22nd January 917 reporting with regard to the claim of Mr M.C. Narasingrachar, Adv. to the Mudgre Uliga post of Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote, that the references of 1863 bearing on the subject are not available in the Temple

over.

records, I am directed to
invite reference to this office
letter no. 1867 dy 23rd Feby. 915, and to state that the
~~and to request to be informed~~ second other queries has
not been replied to,
Whether Singlachar, the original
holder of Mudre Mija and
Adhyapaka posts, was recognized
as the permanent holder of
these offices on any occasion
subsequent to 1863, the date
of the alleged Razinama in
favor of Sampathkumanachar.
2) The records are herewith
returned for ref.d re-duly

Thanks etc.

by
15.5.17

Joint Mag. Secretary.

List of en

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15-11 16

C8169 VD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

1919

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

1937
8-9
Dnw
No. 486-12
Serial No. 7.

To

The Joint Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.
B A N G A L O R E.

Dated 26th April 1919.

Despatched 28th April 1919.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits certain information re: the Mudre Uliga and Adyopaka posts in the Sri Narayana Swamy temple at Melkote.

Ref. No. 1784 [illegible]

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Dated, Mysore, 26th April 1917.

From.

T.Chokkanna, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To.

G.Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2884/Mus 486-14-6 dated 24th March 1917, calling for further information about the Mudre Uliga and Mayapaha posts in the Sri Narayana Swamy temple at Melkote, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of vernacular correspondence ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No. M.Tis on C. .1667 of 14-1 dated 8-4-19 and to state that the Deputy Amildar reports that the name of Singalachar does not appear in the temple Baravard from July 1863, that since then Sampat Kumarachar was attending to the Mudre Uliga work till the revision of the scale of the temple and that since the date of revision, the Baravard stands in the name of Lakshmi Tatacharya whose agents have been attending to the work.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

B.N.R.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Rejects the review application of Mr M. C. Alasingaracharya, praying to set aside the orders relating to the office of Amirdi in the Temple of Sri Narayanaswami at Melkote

Diary No. of letter replied to 1947

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

Section
File No.
Serial No. 8

Dated in Camp
No. 557

Date of issue 16.8.19

To

Signed by B. Ramaswamayya Esqr.

Mr M. C. Alasingaracharu,
Advocate, Bangalore.

With reference to his review-application regd. no. 2005 of 6th June 1912 praying that the marginally noted orders of Govt. issued in regard to the office of Amirdi in the Temple of Sri Narayana Swami at Melkote be reconsidered and the karaward maintained in his

no. 457 of 15-8-1910
Muz. 124-07

no. 1673 of 23-12-1910
Muz. 299-10

over.

Sri Narasimhachar

informed that the available records show that after the resignation of Kumara Singlachar in 1863, his name was removed and the post was registered in the name of Sampathkumarachar under Hassan Huzur Hukum no. 67 Dy 29-5-1863, as a permanent arrangement, that the name of the original karavardar Kumara Singlachar does not find a place in the temple karavari from July 1863 onwards, and that therefore Kumara Singlachar cannot be said to have reserved any right to the office either for himself or for his descendants. For these reasons, review is rejected.

Sd/-

Copied by

Examined by

Secretary

Rev. Dept.

15-11 16

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

5585 Dy 16.8.19.

copy to the Dy. Commr, Mysuru Dist, with the accts received with his letter No 178 Dy 26-4-19.

B.O.

for

Secretary,

Inam-Deptt.

Dy 24/8/19